

The Honorable James L. Robart

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington  
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC., and MOTOROLA  
MOBILITY LLC, and GENERAL  
INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, and  
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.

CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

DECLARATION OF CHERYL A. GALVIN  
IN SUPPORT OF MOTOROLA'S  
OBJECTION AND OPPOSITION TO  
MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR COSTS

**NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
Friday, December 20, 2013**

DECLARATION OF CHERYL A. GALVIN IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTOROLA'S OBJECTION AND OPPOSITION TO  
MICROSOFT'S MOTION FOR COSTS  
CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC  
315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682  
Telephone: (206) 676-7000  
Fax: (206) 676-7001

1 I, Cheryl A. Galvin, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, one  
3 of the law firms representing defendants Motorola Mobility, LLC ("MMI"), Motorola Solutions,  
4 Inc. ("Motorola Solutions") and General Instrument Corp. ("GIC") (collectively, "Motorola") in  
5 the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.

6 2. I was admitted to practice in this district *pro hac vice* on June 7, 2013 under the  
7 name Cheryl A. Berry. Dkt. 697.

8 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a spreadsheet reflecting the costs requested by  
9 Microsoft that Motorola believes should be denied, as further explained in the accompanying  
10 brief, Motorola's Objection and Opposition to Microsoft's Motion for Costs.

11 3. On page 1 of Exhibit A are items that were included in Exhibit B of the Declaration  
12 of Shane Cramer ("Cramer Declaration") filed in support of Microsoft's Motion for Costs. Dkt.  
13 949. The date of the service provided, the amount of the invoice that should be deducted from  
14 Microsoft's cost request, and the reasons for those deductions are included.

15 4. On page 2 of Exhibit A are items that were included in Exhibit C of the Cramer  
16 Declaration. The date of the transcript requested, the amount of the invoice that should be  
17 deducted from Microsoft's cost request, and the reasons for those deductions are included.

18 5. On pages 3-5 of Exhibit A are items that were included in Exhibit D of the Cramer  
19 Declaration. The total cost for videotaped depositions was determined by adding together the total  
20 invoice amounts for deposition videotapes. The total cost for videotaped depositions of Microsoft  
21 employees and experts was determined by adding together the total invoice amounts for deposition  
22 videotapes for Microsoft employees and Microsoft experts. The total cost for extra copies of  
23 videotaped depositions was determined by adding together the invoice amounts for additional  
24 videotapes where more than one videotape was ordered. The date of transcript, amount to be  
25 deducted, and deponent names are listed for the transcript costs relating to expediting the  
26 transcripts. The date of transcript, amount to be deducted, and deponent names are also listed for

1 the transcript costs relating to interactive realtime. In addition, the date of the transcript, amount  
2 to be deducted, and reason for deduction are also included for duplicate transcript costs—where  
3 two invoices appear to have been submitted for the same item.

4 6. On pages 6-7 of Exhibit A are items that were included in Exhibit F of the Cramer  
5 Declaration. The impermissible invoice categories and the amount to be deducted for each  
6 category are listed. The totals were determined by adding together the amounts from all of the  
7 invoices for each category.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
9 foregoing is true and correct.

10 DATED this 16th day of December, 2013 in Redwood Shores, California.

11  
12 /s/ Cheryl A. Galvin  
Cheryl A. Galvin

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., Esq.  
Shane P. Cramer, Esq.  
Calfo Harrigan Leyh & Eakes LLP  
*arthurh@calfoharrigan.com*  
*shanec@calfoharrigan.com*

Richard A. Cederroth, Esq.  
Brian R. Nester, Esq.  
David T. Pritikin, Esq.  
Douglas I. Lewis, Esq.  
John W. McBride, Esq.  
William H. Baumgartner, Jr., Esq.  
David C. Giardina, Esq.  
Carter G. Phillips, Esq.  
Constantine L. Trela, Jr., Esq.  
Ellen S. Robbins, Esq.  
Nathaniel C. Love, Esq.  
Sidley Austin LLP  
*rcederroth@sidley.com*  
*bnester@sidley.com*  
*dpritikin@sidley.com*  
*dilewis@sidley.com*  
*jwmcbride@sidley.com*  
*wbaumgartner@sidley.com*  
*dgiardina@sidley.com*  
*cphillips@sidley.com*  
*ctrela@sidley.com*  
*erobbins@sidley.com*  
*nlove@sidley.com*

T. Andrew Culbert, Esq.  
David E. Killough, Esq.  
Microsoft Corp.  
*andycu@microsoft.com*  
*davkill@microsoft.com*

DATED this 16th day of December, 2013.

/s/ Marcia A. Ripley

Marcia A. Ripley